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#### Abstract

A practical and popular technique to extract the symbolic dynamics from experimentally measured chaotic time series is the threshold-crossing method, by which an arbitrary partition is utilized for determining the symbols. We address to what extent the symbolic dynamics so obtained can faithfully represent the phase-space dynamics. Our principal result is that such a practice can lead to a severe misrepresentation of the dynamical system. The measured topological entropy is a Devil's staircase-like, but surprisingly nonmonotone, function of a parameter characterizing the amount of misplacement of the partition.


PACS numbers: 05.45.Vx, 05.45.Tp, 89.70.+c

Symbolic dynamics is a fundamental tool available to describe complicated time evolution of a chaotic dynamical system, the Smale horseshoe [1] being the most famous prototype. Symbolic dynamics also provides a natural link between chaotic dynamics and information theory [2], on which the recent idea of utilizing chaotic systems to encode digital information, or communicating with chaos, is based [3]. A good symbolic dynamical representation requires that a one-to-one correspondence be established to the phase-space dynamics; the partition that defines distinct symbols has to be generating [4]. Specification of the generating partition for chaotic systems in general is, however, a challenging problem [5-8] which is still open for most dynamical systems.

On the experimental side, there appears an increasing interest in chaotic symbolic dynamics as well [9,10]. A common practice is to apply the threshold-crossing method, i.e., to define a rather arbitrary partition, so that distinct symbols can be defined from measured time series [9]. There are two reasons for the popularity of the thresholdcrossing method: (i) it is extremely difficult to locate the generating partition from chaotic data and (ii) threshold crossing is a physically intuitive and natural idea. Consider, for instance, a time series of temperature $T(t)$ recorded from a turbulent flow. By replacing the real-valued data with symbolic data relative to some threshold $T_{c}$, say a $\mathbf{0}$ if $T(t)<T_{c}$ and a $\mathbf{1}$ if $T(t)>T_{c}$, the problem of data analysis can be simplified. A well chosen partition is clearly important: for instance, $T_{c}$ cannot be outside the range of $T(t)$ because, otherwise, the symbolic sequence will be trivial and carry no information about the underlying dynamics. It is thus of paramount interest to understand how misplaced partitions affect the goodness of the symbolic dynamics such as the amount of information that can be extracted from the data.

In this Letter, we investigate the consequence of misplaced partitions in chaotic systems. Specifically, we ad-
dress how the topological entropy, perhaps one of the most important dynamical invariants that one intends to compute from symbolic dynamics, behaves as a parameter, $d$, which characterizes the amount of misplaced partition, is changed. We find that the topological entropy as a function of $d$ to be devil's staircase-like, but surprisingly nonmonotone. We establish our results by performing numerical computations for one- and two-dimensional maps and by rigorous analyses for the tent map which is a good topological model for one-dimensional one-hump maps. The main implication of our results is that the threshold-crossing technique typically yields misleading conclusions about the dynamics generating the data, and therefore one should be extremely cautious when attempting to understand the underlying system from a misrepresented symbolic dynamics. Similar topological entropy figures have appeared previously [11], but our combinatorial and topological explanations of the phenomenon and application are new.

We begin by studying the tent map: $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$, $x \rightarrow 1-2|x-1 / 2|$ for which the generating partition for symbolic dynamics is the critical point $x_{c}=1 / 2$. The dynamics of $f$ is semiconjugate to the Bernoulli full 2-shift, meaning that there are no forbidden binary symbolic sequences, by the surjection $h:[0,1] \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}^{\{0,1\}}$. This gives a unique symbolic itinerary $\sigma=\sigma_{0} \cdot \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2} \ldots$ for each $x \in[0,1]$, where $\sigma_{i}(x)=\mathbf{0}(\mathbf{1})$ if $f^{i}(x)<x_{c}\left(>x_{c}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$, and $\sum_{2}^{\{0,1\}}$ is the semi-infinite full shift on two symbols: $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ [12,13]. The topological entropy of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}^{\{0,1\}}$ is $\ln 2$. Now misplace the partition at $p=x_{c}+d$, where $d \in[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$ is the misplacement parameter. In this case, the symbolic sequence corresponding to a point $x \in[0,1]$ becomes $\phi=\phi_{0} \cdot \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \ldots$, where $\phi_{i}(x)=$ $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b})$ if $f^{i}(x)<p(>p)$, as shown in Fig. 1. The shift so obtained, $\Sigma_{2}^{\{a, b\}}$, will no longer be a full shift because, as we argue later, not every binary symbolic sequence is possible. Thus, $\sum_{2}^{\{a, b\}}$ will be a subshift on two symbols a and $\mathbf{b}$ when $d^{2} \neq 0\left(p \neq x_{c}\right)$. The topological entropy of


FIG. 1. Tent map and a misplaced partition at $x=p$.
the subshift $\sum_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}$, denoted by $h_{T}(d)$, will typically be less than $h_{T}(0)=\ln 2$. Numerically, $h_{T}(d)$ can be computed by using the formula [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{T}(d)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\ln N_{n}}{n}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{n} \leq 2^{n}$ is the number of ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) binary sequences (words) of length $n$. In our computation, we choose 1024 values of $d$ uniformly in the interval $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$ and for each value of $d$, we count $N_{n}$ in the range $4 \leq n \leq 18$ from a trajectory of $2^{20}$ points generated by the tent map. The slopes of the plots of $\ln N_{n}$ versus $n$ gives approximate values of $h_{T}$. Figure 2(a) shows $h_{T}(d)$ versus $d$ for the tent map, where we observe a complicated, devil's staircaselike, but clearly nonmonotone behavior. For $d=0$, we have $h_{T}(0) \approx \ln 2$, as expected. For $d=-1 / 2(1 / 2)$, from Fig. 1, we see that the grammar forbids the letter $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b})$ and, hence, $\Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(-1 / 2)\left[\Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(1 / 2)\right]$ has only one sequence: $\phi=\mathbf{b b b} \ldots(\phi=\mathbf{a a a} \ldots)$. Hence, $h_{T}( \pm 1 / 2)=0$.


FIG. 2. For the tent map: (a) numerically computed $h_{T}(d)$ function by following sequences of a chaotic orbit and (b) exactly computed entropy function $h_{T}(d)$.

Can a similar behavior in the topological entropy occur in more complicated and nonhyperbolic systems? Consider, for instance, the Hénon map: $(x, y) \rightarrow\left(1.4-x^{2}+\right.$ $0.3 y, x)$. Because of nonhyperbolicity, the generating partition has been conjectured to be a complicated zigzag curve connecting all primary tangency points between the stable and the unstable manifolds [5,14]. Precisely locating the partition curve is highly nontrivial, so the idea of threshold crossing becomes more tempting. Suppose we simply set the partition at $y=c$ and define, for each measurement $y_{i}$ from the time series $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$, the following $2 n$-bit word: $\omega_{2 n}=\sigma_{-n} \ldots \sigma_{-1} \cdot \sigma_{0} \ldots \sigma_{n-1}$, where $n \leq i \leq N-n$ and the symbols are chosen according to the rule $\sigma_{j}=\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b})$ if $y_{i+j}<c(>c)$. By numerically counting the number of distinct $2 n$-bit words, we obtain Fig. 3, plot of $h_{T}(c)$ versus the misplaced partition parameter $c$. Features similar to those in Fig. 2(a) are observed. Computations using experimental time series such as the Belousov-Zhabotinskii reaction data [15] reveal a similar behavior depicted in Fig. 4.

Our experimental and numerical results are justified by the following rigorous analysis [16]. The basic mathematical tool we employ is the graphic presentation of the symbolic dynamics. Consider, for instance, the familiar Bernoulli shift map in the symbolic space, which is the full shift $\Sigma_{2}^{\{0,1\}}$. It can be presented, naturally, by a directed graph describing all possible transitions between the $2^{n}$ words of $\mathbf{0}$ 's and $\mathbf{1}$ 's, as shown in Fig. 5 for $n=4$. The sixteen 4-bit words are arranged from left to right by the Gray-code order: 0000 $\prec \mathbf{0 0 0 1} \prec \mathbf{0 0 1 0} \prec \mathbf{0 1 1 0} \prec$ $\cdots \prec \mathbf{1 0 1 1} \prec \mathbf{1 0 0 1} \prec \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$, so that corresponding intervals in the phase space are monotonically ordered, according to the kneading theory [17]. It is important to realize that the graph in Fig. 5 with the particular labeling characterizes the full shift, i.e., when the partition is generating. When the partition is misplaced, the labeling of the graph changes. The associated topological entropy can


FIG. 3. For the standard Hénon map, the topological entropy $h_{T}$ versus $c$, the parameter characterizing the misplaced partition at $y=c$. Features similar to those in Fig. 2 are seen.


FIG. 4. (a) Topological entropy versus partition placement $h_{T}(d)$ for experimentally collected chemical reaction [Belousov-Zhabotinsky (BZ)] data. (b) Time series of the data.
then be computed from the transition matrix of the relabeled graph $[16,18]$. This is the main idea of our analysis. Also of particular importance in our analysis are the situations where the partition is misplaced at dyadic positions: $d=q / 2^{n} \equiv q / r$, where $d \in[0,1]$ and $q, r, n$ are integers. Several such cases are shown in Fig. 5.
More specifically, let $\mathcal{G}=(G, L)$ denote a labeled graph, where each edge carries a label index $L$. Consider a misplacement which occurs at a dyadic $d$; then the partition of the tent map can be described in the symbolic space by relabeling the set of edges of an appropriate graph ( $G, L$ ) presentation of the full shift. Placing the partition at any such $d$ is equivalent to relabeling the set of edges in the graphic presentation, to be either $\mathbf{a}$ or $\mathbf{b}$, according to the Gray-code order. In particular, if $d \preceq v_{i}$, where $v_{i}$ ( $i=1, \ldots, 2^{n}$ ) is the $i$ th $n$-bit word labeling a vertex, then all edges pointed into that vertex are relabeled as an a, and otherwise a b. Such a relabeling can be considered to be a factor code $\phi_{d}$ that projects, surjectively, the full shift $\sum_{2}^{\{0,1\}}$ into the subshift $\sum_{2}^{\{a, \mathbf{b}\}}(d)$. Each relabeled graph then defines a new subshift with grammar (because there are now forbidden words) by considering all walks through the graph and all resulting infinite sequences of a's and $\mathbf{b}$ 's.
To understand better why a misplaced partition changes the labeling of the graph, we consider the following simple examples. If $n=4$ and $d=-1 / 8$, it can be shown [16] that the relabeled word abbba is allowed which is generated by the path: $\overbrace{0111}^{a} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 1 1 0}}^{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 1 0 0}}^{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 0 0 1}}^{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{0 0 1 0}}^{\mathrm{a}}$. This is, in fact, the only such labeled path through the graph, as shown in Fig. 5. If the partition is further misplaced to $d=-3 / 16$, then that path becomes relabeled: bbbba, since we have, by relabeling the vertex 0111 to a b, the following: $\overbrace{0111}^{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 1 1 0}}^{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 1 0 0}}^{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 0 0 1}}^{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{0 0 1 0}}^{\mathbf{a}}$. For $d=-1 / 8$, it is straightforward to show [16], by considering the short list of all possible 5-bit paths out of all


FIG. 5. Graphic presentation for the Bernoulli full shift and some dyadic misplacements.

16 vertices, that this is the only path that gives abbba and, hence, when $d=-3 / 16$, abbba can label no other paths, and as such the word becomes forbidden. A key point to notice is that the word abbba will not be forbidden when the partition is even further misplaced to $d=-1 / 4$ : $\overbrace{0011}^{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow \overbrace{0110}^{\mathrm{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 1 0 0}}^{\mathrm{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{1 0 0 0}}^{\mathrm{b}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{0 0 0 0}}^{\mathrm{a}}$, which was formerly named aabba. These examples thus give some intuitive illustration that $h_{T}(d)$ as a function of $d$ can be nonmonotone, because words that disappear can reappear, by different paths, as $|d|$ is increased.

We can now explain our procedure to rigorously compute the entropy function $h_{T}(d)$. First, the following two definitions are essential: (i) A sophic shift $X$ is defined to be [18] a shift space generated by all possible walks through a labeled graph $\mathcal{G}=(G, L)$, where each edge carries a label index $L$, and a particular element of $X$ is defined by the labels of the set of edges followed during a particular infinite walk. (ii) A sophic shift is right resolving if each vertex has all of its exiting edges labeled uniquely [18]. Figure 5 is, for example, a right resolving presentation of a sophic shift that is conjugate to the full 2 -shift. We then recall two important theorems from Ref. [18]: (i) If $X$ is a sophic shift and $G=(G, L)$ is a right resolving presentation of $X$, then $h_{T}(X)=\ln \rho[A(G)]$, where $\rho[A(G)]$ is the spectral radius of the transition matrix $A$ corresponding to $\mathcal{G}$. (ii) Every sophic shift has a right resolving presentation. We are then able to prove that a right resolving presentation of these misplaced sophic shifts $\Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(d)$ can be so constructed for any dyadic misplacement, $d=q / 2^{n}$. Then $\Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(d)$ can be presented by relabeling the $N=2^{n}$ nodes in the graphic presentation of the full shift $\sum_{2}^{\{0,1\}}$, as we have discussed in the preceding paragraphs.

Our proof is equivalent to the subset construction in Ref. [18], which proceeds as follows. Define the graph that generates $X_{\mathcal{G}} \equiv \Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(d)$ to be $\mathcal{G}=(G, L)$. Now index each of the $N$ vertices of $G: G_{i}\left(i=1, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)$ and define a new set of vertices: $H=\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{2^{N}}$, where each $H_{j}$ defines specific and unique on/off states for each vertex. For convenience, we label each $H_{j}$ by $N X$ 's and $O$ 's, each
giving an on/off state for each $G_{i}$. In this notation, if only $G_{i}$ is "turned on," then $H_{j}=O O \cdots O X O \cdots O$ has an $X$ (on) only in the $i$ th position. Considering all on/off states of $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ requires $2^{N}$ vertices $H_{j}$. The next step is to define edges $E$ to go with the vertices $H$. Each $H_{j}$ labels on/off positions of $N, G_{i}$ vertices of $G$. Consider all of those vertices $G_{k}$ which can follow each of these $G_{i}$ such that each $G_{k}$ has the label $\mathbf{a}$, to be turned on, and all other $G_{k}$ to be "turned off." If none of these $G_{i}$ transition to an a label $G_{k}$, then no edge will be defined. Thus, for each nonempty transition, a vertex $H_{l}$ is defined to follow $H_{j}$ with an a. Similarly, we can define b edges from $H_{j}$. Following these rules, we construct a graph $\mathcal{H}=(H, E)$ that generates a sophic shift $\chi_{\mathcal{H}}$. We advance the following two propositions [16]:

Proposition 1: $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is conjugate to $\Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(d)$ and, hence, $h_{T}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=h_{T}\left[\Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(d)\right]$.

Proposition 2: $\mathcal{H}=(H, E)$ is a right resolving presentation of $\Sigma_{2}^{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}}(d)$.

Our algorithm to computer $h_{T}(d)$ consists of (i) for each $d=q / 2^{n}$, find the corresponding transition graph $\mathcal{G}$, (ii) generate a right resolving presentation $\mathcal{H}$, and its transition matrix $A(\mathcal{H})$, and (iii) compute topological entropy by the largest eigenvalue of $A(\mathcal{H})$. These exact results are shown in Fig. 2(b).

In summary, we have presented numerical and rigorous results concerning the behavior of the topological entropy when the partition for symbolic dynamics is misplaced, which has been a common practice utilized to extract the symbolic dynamics from experimentally measured chaotic data. Our principal result is that the entropy can be a nonmonotone and devil's staircase-like function of the misplacement parameter. As such, the consequence of a misplaced partition can be severe, including significantly reduced topological entropies and a high degree of nonuniqueness which we will rigorously elaborate elsewhere [16]. We wish to convey the message in this Letter that interpreting any results obtained from threshold-crossingtype analysis should be exercised with extreme caution.
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